

**JAMES R WOOD ELEM SCHOOL**  
**SOMONAUK CUSD 432**  
**SOMONAUK, ILLINOIS**



**ILLINOIS**  
**SCHOOL**  
**REPORT**  
**CARD**

**GRADES : PK K 1 2 3 4**

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

**STUDENTS**

**RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION**

	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic	Low- Income Rate	Limited- English- Proficient Rate	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
<b>School</b>	91.1	0.3	3.5	0.5	0.3	4.3	6.6	0.0		0.0	9.4	95.4	395
<b>District</b>	93.2	0.2	3.3	0.2	0.1	3.0	6.8	0.0		0.2	8.4	94.4	1,022
<b>State</b>	54.9	19.6	19.3	3.8	0.2	2.2	40.9	7.2		2.5	15.2	93.7	2,077,856

**Low-income** students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches.

**Limited-English-proficient** students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

**Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year.

**Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

**INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING**

<b>PARENTAL CONTACT*</b>		<b>STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS</b>			
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
<b>School</b>	100.0	--	--	--	--
<b>District</b>	100.0	20.9	16.7	13.3	185.8
<b>State</b>	96.1	18.8	18.8	13.9	230.6

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

**AVERAGE CLASS SIZE** (as of the first school day in May)

Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12
<b>School</b>	22.0	23.7	25.3	24.7	24.7					
<b>District</b>	22.0	23.7	25.3	24.7	24.7					
<b>State</b>	20.9	21.0	21.3	21.8	22.5					

**TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS** (Minutes Per Day)

Grades	Mathematics			Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science		
	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8
<b>School</b>	90			30			151			30		
<b>District</b>	90			30			151			30		
<b>State</b>	58			30			145			31		


TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)								
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American	Male	Female	Total Number
District	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.9	74.1	62
State	85.1	8.8	4.6	1.2	0.2	23.0	77.0	127,010

TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)					
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School	--	--	--	0.0	0.0
District	12.2	57.2	42.8	0.0	0.0
State	12.9	47.6	52.3	1.5	3.2

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.


## SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

### TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES (Full-Time Equivalents)



Salaries and counts of staff are summed across a district based on the percentage of time that each individual is employed as a teacher or an administrator and may or may not reflect the actual paid salaries for the district.

### EXPENDITURE BY FUNCTION 2005-06 (Percentages)



REVENUE BY SOURCE 2005-06				EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2005-06			
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$5,519,186	49.2	58.8	Education	\$7,002,131	62.5	73.0
Other Local Funding	\$2,795,944	24.9	6.0	Operations & Maintenance	\$2,768,337	24.7	8.6
General State Aid	\$1,780,680	15.9	18.2	Transportation	\$559,133	5.0	3.9
Other State Funding	\$802,070	7.2	9.3	Bond and Interest	\$614,227	5.5	6.2
Federal Funding	\$314,172	2.8	7.7	Rent	\$0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	\$11,212,052			Municipal Retirement/ Social Security	\$255,515	2.3	1.8
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	1.1
				Site & Construction/ Capital Improvement	\$0	0.0	5.4
				TOTAL	\$11,199,343		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS				
	2004 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2004 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2005-06 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2005-06 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$128,311	4.39	\$4,843	\$8,687
State	**	**	\$5,567	\$9,488

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.


## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

### OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They represent your school's performance in reading, mathematics and science.





**OVERALL ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT) PERFORMANCE**



**ISAT PERFORMANCE**

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.



**PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS**

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Measure of Annual Growth in English (IMAGE) is administered to limited-English-proficient students. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS														
		Gender			Racial/Ethnic Background						LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Economically Disadvantaged
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi racial /Ethnic				
School	*Enrollment	148	66	82	136		5	2		5	1		20	14
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0								0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
District	*Enrollment	520	248	272	486		20	2		12	1		66	52
	Reading Mathematics	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0			0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
State	*Enrollment	1,084,882	553,532	530,308	595,977	214,100	206,359	41,730	1,757	23,196	84,125	548	158,457	455,494
	Reading Mathematics	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.2 0.2	0.0 0.0	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.2	0.5 0.5	0.1 0.1

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows.

### ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Level 1 -- Academic Warning - Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards - Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Level 3 -- Meets Standards - Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
- Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards - Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

### Grade 3

#### Grade 3 - All

Levels	Reading				Mathematics			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	1.4	12.3	53.4	32.9	0.0	4.2	54.2	41.7
District	1.4	12.3	53.4	32.9	0.0	4.2	54.2	41.7
State	5.3	21.7	48.8	24.1	3.7	9.5	44.7	42.0

#### Grade 3 - Gender

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	0.0	12.1	54.5	33.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8
	District	0.0	12.1	54.5	33.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	43.8
	State	7.0	24.3	47.5	21.2	4.3	9.4	43.2	43.1
Female	School	2.5	12.5	52.5	32.5	0.0	7.5	52.5	40.0
	District	2.5	12.5	52.5	32.5	0.0	7.5	52.5	40.0
	State	3.6	19.0	50.2	27.2	3.1	9.7	46.4	40.9

**Grade 3 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	1.5	12.1	51.5	34.8	0.0	4.6	53.8	41.5
	District	1.5	12.1	51.5	34.8	0.0	4.6	53.8	41.5
	State	2.7	14.9	50.5	31.9	1.2	4.9	41.6	52.3
Black	School								
	District								
	State	12.3	37.2	42.4	8.1	10.8	21.0	49.7	18.4
Hispanic	School								
	District								
	State	6.1	28.2	52.2	13.5	3.5	11.7	53.8	31.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	School								
	District								
	State	1.1	9.2	48.4	41.4	0.5	2.4	27.8	69.2
Native American	School								
	District								
	State	4.7	16.4	56.9	22.0	1.7	7.8	50.2	40.3
Multiracial/Ethnic	School								
	District								
	State	4.9	22.3	50.0	22.8	2.8	9.9	47.9	39.5

**Grade 3 - Students with Disabilities**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
IEP	School	0.0	25.0	58.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	91.7	8.3
	District	0.0	25.0	58.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	91.7	8.3
	State	19.9	37.2	32.7	10.2	11.2	18.8	47.0	23.0
Non-IEP	School	1.6	9.8	52.5	36.1	0.0	5.0	46.7	48.3
	District	1.6	9.8	52.5	36.1	0.0	5.0	46.7	48.3
	State	3.0	19.2	51.5	26.4	2.5	8.0	44.4	45.1

**Grade 4****Grade 4 - All**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science					
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
School		0.0	13.5	66.2	20.3	0.0	4.1	59.5	36.5	0.0	2.7	82.4	14.9	
	District		0.0	13.5	66.2	20.3	0.0	4.1	59.5	36.5	0.0	2.7	82.4	14.9
	State		1.1	25.2	48.3	25.4	1.2	12.5	56.9	29.5	3.5	16.7	61.5	18.2

**Grade 4 - Gender**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
Male	School	0.0	24.2	48.5	27.3	0.0	3.0	63.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	78.8	21.2
	District	0.0	24.2	48.5	27.3	0.0	3.0	63.6	33.3	0.0	0.0	78.8	21.2
	State	1.5	27.9	47.2	23.5	1.5	13.1	55.2	30.3	4.0	16.5	59.0	20.5
Female	School	0.0	4.9	80.5	14.6	0.0	4.9	56.1	39.0	0.0	4.9	85.4	9.8
	District	0.0	4.9	80.5	14.6	0.0	4.9	56.1	39.0	0.0	4.9	85.4	9.8
	State	0.6	22.5	49.5	27.4	0.8	11.9	58.7	28.7	3.0	17.0	64.2	15.8

**Grade 4 - Racial/Ethnic Background**

Levels	Reading				Mathematics				Science				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
White	School	0.0	12.9	67.1	20.0	0.0	4.3	60.0	35.7	0.0	2.9	82.9	14.3
	District	0.0	12.9	67.1	20.0	0.0	4.3	60.0	35.7	0.0	2.9	82.9	14.3
	State	0.5	17.0	49.4	33.2	0.5	6.8	55.1	37.6	1.1	8.6	64.4	25.8
Black	School												
	District												
	State	2.7	47.1	41.9	8.3	3.4	28.7	58.2	9.8	10.8	37.4	48.5	3.2
Hispanic	School												
	District												
	State	1.1	30.5	53.7	14.8	0.9	13.5	66.4	19.1	3.3	21.9	67.8	7.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	School												
	District												
	State	0.2	9.2	48.6	42.0	0.2	3.1	40.6	56.1	0.6	6.1	64.5	28.8
Native American	School												
	District												
	State	1.7	27.1	47.6	23.6	0.9	14.4	57.6	27.1	3.1	14.9	65.8	16.2
Multiracial/Ethnic	School												
	District												
	State	1.0	25.7	49.4	23.9	1.2	12.9	59.8	26.1	3.2	16.3	65.4	15.1

## 2007 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) Status Report

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2007-08 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2007-08 State Improvement Status	

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
<b>State AYP Minimum Target</b>	95.0		95.0		55.0			55.0			90.0		72.0	
<b>All</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	87.8		Yes	96.9		Yes	95.4	Yes		
<b>White</b>	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	87.8		Yes	96.7		Yes				
<b>Black</b>														
<b>Hispanic</b>														
<b>Asian/Pacific Islander</b>														
<b>Native American</b>														
<b>Multiracial /Ethnic</b>														
<b>LEP</b>														
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>														
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>														

**Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):**

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
2. At least 55.0% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 55.0% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*
3. For schools not making AYP solely because the IEP group fails to have 55.0% meeting/exceeding standards, 14% may be added to this variable in accordance with the federal 2% flexibility provision.
4. At least 90% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 72% graduation rate for high schools.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2006.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 55.0% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.

## **PLANNED IMPROVEMENT FOR THE SCHOOL AND DISTRICT**

Planned improvement areas for the 2007-2008 school year.

- ISAT scores: The results from the 2007 ISAT scores revealed that 86% of third grade students met or exceeded standards in Reading, and 96% of third grade students met or exceeded standards in Math. The fourth grade revealed similar results, 86% of students met or exceeded standards in Reading, 96% of students met or exceeded in the area of Math, and 97% of students met or exceed standards in Science. The noticeable area of concern for both third and fourth grades was the performance in the Reading extended response portion of the test. 85% of both third and fourth grade students scored a 2 or below on a four point scale. Of the fourth grade students, 44% received a one or below.
- Local Assessments: Students in grades K-4 were tested using multiple assessments (ISEL, Gates, STAR, fluency, DIBELS) in reading to monitor progress during the year and from year to year. Some highlights include: 1) The percentage of Second grade students who scored in the 25% – 49% of the STAR Reader test at the beginning of the year decreased by 28%. 2) First graders who were identified as “at risk” from the results of the Gates fluency test at the beginning of the year were identified as having “some risk” by the end of the year. 3) The percentage of Fourth grade students who scored in the 75% or higher of the STAR Reader test increased by 18% and the number of students who scored 49% or below decreased by 12%.

### **James R. Wood Elementary School Improvement Goals for 2007-08**

#### Goal 1. Improve Reading skills of all students.

- Improvement of student reading comprehension and vocabulary.
- A higher percentage of students will score in the top 2 quartiles on the STAR assessment than in the preceding cycle.
- According to the local assessments given every year, the number of students entering 2<sup>nd</sup> grade that require remedial support from the previous year will be reduced by 15%.
- These goals will be monitored through the use of multiple assessments (Gates, STAR, fluency) in reading.

#### Goal 2. Implement Professional Learning Communities focused on student achievement.

- To become a true PLC at James R. Wood Elementary. All grade level PLC's will have determined Power Standards for one content area, developed 16-20 outcomes, and developed at least two common assessments.
- Each PLC will implement the devised unit created by the PLC team.

#### Goal 3. Increase the reading written extended response scores on ISAT's by 10%.

- Increase the written extended response scores on the ISAT's by 10%.
- Use professional development time throughout the school year to focus on writing samples that are aligned with the ISAT scoring rubric

